

Sub-Contracting Strategy 2022-2025





Version 6



Introduction

This document has been reviewed and approved by the Group's Governing Body in line with the organisation's Strategic Plan and will be reviewed annually to ensure it is current and relevant. In order to ensure that all stakeholders are clear on our strategic priorities with regards to sub-contracting, this document aims to set out our strategy moving forward in cohesion with our Group Vision.

Our Vision

Leading innovation and skills in our communities

Our Mission

Through our strategic relationships with all the communities we serve, our education and training will provide exceptional opportunities for people throughout their lives. Our Centre of Excellence will be inspirational and we will remain agile to the needs of our employers, stakeholders, schools and the community.

Our Core Values

- We are one team
- We have integrity
- We deliver
- We are inclusive

Sub-Contracting Vision

The RNN Group seeks to work only with partners who allow us to meet our strategic aims, vision and core values. Developing strong relationships with these partners, we will continue to provide a curriculum and the highest quality teaching, learning and assessment which allows learners to progress into further learning or employment and meet their full potential. Our subcontracting partnerships will be aligned with the LSIS that meets niche or specialised needs, improves access and provides opportunities for disadvantaged learners.

Sub-contracted provision has been established across the Group for a number of years and is considered of key strategic importance in being able to respond to learner, employer and other stakeholder demand and is not undertaken for purely financial reasons. We will continue to build strong links with partners to ensure we are capable of meeting the local LEP's needs in identified priority sectors. We have highlighted these sectors as:

- Engineering
- Warehousing and Logistics
- Leadership & Management
- Construction
- Employability & Community
- Health & Social Care



Wherever possible, the Group will endeavour to have sub-contracting arrangements in place at the start of each academic year and will not exceed 25% of AEB funding allocation in year (Both devolved and nondevolved). However, this is a 3 year strategy and the Group acknowledges the fact that employer's work year round rather than in academic years therefore, we must operate to meet this demand and review our strategy inline with local need and relevant governance. The Group also recognises that arrangements may be put in place later in the year where the provision is considered to be a key arrangement by the Executive Leadership Team and/or the Governing Body.

Sub-Contracting Aims & Objectives

Aim	Value(s)	Success Output
To ensure that the Group has a clear Sub-Contracting Strategy year-on-year	Quality of Education / Financial Integrity	Review of the Group priorities with annual sub-contracting plans in place no later than June
A robust selection process in place for sub-contractors that is clearly linked to our strategic aims and learner, employer & LEP demand	Quality of Education / Financial Integrity / Growth	Annual planning starting in April of each year Wherever possible, have sub-contracts in place prior to the start of the academic year Transfer rates negotiated with partners which is based upon risk to the Group's stability
Ensuring the Group uses subcontractors where possible to deliver curriculum to meet local community needs that is not delivered by the Group	People & Place in the Community	Local residents will develop the skills they need to achieve personal goals or work opportunities
Use only sub-contractors that provide the highest level of teaching, learning and assessment, who are committed to continuous improvement and staff development and who offer the least amount of risk to the stability of the Group	Quality of Education	Ensure minimum achievement rates are 84% or 2% above benchmark (whichever is greatest) Conduct robust compliance audits with error rates less than 2% TLA observations on sub-contractors to be 90% "Good" or better by the end of the academic year Provide sub-contractors with a



		minimum of 20 hours staff development per academic year
Offer highly effective ongoing support to sub-contractors to enable high levels of teaching, learning and assessment to take place	Quality of Education	Provide a central point of contact within the Group, offering efficiency and responsiveness Provide appropriate staff development sessions to all subcontractors Ensure payment terms are fair and timely
		Undertake regular performance reviews with every sub-contractor (frequency dependent upon risk of the sub-contract, provision, performance and value of contract)
Ensure the management of sub- contractors meets and exceeds government policies and ensures compliance at all levels	Financial Integrity/Q uality of Education	Maintain up-to-date and accurate management information with 0% ILR errors for sub-contractors Provide robust contract management to ensure funding rules are adhered to
		Undertake termly audits and spot checks on all sub-contractors Share best practice updates throughout our network

Supply Chain Management Policy

This policy covers the key principles for fee arrangements between the RNN Group and partners who deliver education and training through sub-contracting arrangements.

Responsibility

The Bids & Contracts Manager has responsibility for the management and oversight of the Group's sub-contracting arrangements. The Director of Adult Education and Contracts is the strategic lead for the Group's sub-contracting arrangements, reporting into Deputy CEO and Executive Director of Finance.



Contract Awards

Sub-contracts will be awarded subject to a potential sub-contractor successfully passing the Group's due diligence. Individual sub-contract values may be awarded by the following:

Sub-Contract Value	Approval Required
Up to £50,000	Executive Director of Finance
£50,001 - £100,000	2 x Designated Post Holders
£100,001+	Governing Body

Overview

The RNN Group operates as a lead provider where a proportion of provision is delivered using partners through sub-contracting arrangements. Sub-contractors are used where:

- the scope of the provision sits outside of the RNN Group's internal capability or where their provision has been identified as a key strategic priority for the Group; or
- the sub-contractor has well-established and respected links with employer's; or
- there is a long-term partnership in place with a particular sub-contractor; or
- the sub-contractor can bring a USP to the RNN Group and its operations.

All sub-contractors undergo robust and comprehensive due diligence checks prior to any delivery. Appendix 1 outlines the main criteria we will score against. It is important that the culture and values of the potential sub-contractor fits with those of the RNN Group. The Group promotes quality improvement at every level through the sharing of best practice, high quality and appropriate staff development and having in place a rigorous audit schedule that includes quality reviews, observations of teaching, learning and assessment and learner and employer feedback.

Through our diligent supply chain management, we will:

- promote the principles of best practice as set out in the LSIS publication "Supply Chain Management – a good practice guide for the post-16 skills sector";
- undertake procurement of sub-contractors which meet the needs of our learner, employer's and other stakeholders;
- adhere to the overarching principles of the Common Accord to ensure the highest quality teaching, learning and assessment;
- contribute to local and national Government targets for funding, achievement and economic growth;
- provide equal access to education and training in the communities that we serve;
- promote equal access to progression opportunities from FE to HE or employment;
- support established, new and emerging markets;
- share good practice and embed a culture of continuous improvement to enhance learner and employer experiences;
- contribute to assisting sub-contractors to improve the delivery of teaching, learning and assessment for our learners by providing high quality continuous professional development opportunities, information, advice and guidance on adhering to ESFA and Combined Authorities Funding Rules and monitoring the overall effectiveness of the sub-contract arrangement.



Quality of Education and Curriculum

- Subcontractors will be subject to the Group's Quality arrangements such as, deep dives and business performance reviews
- Quality improvement activities will be planned according to risk with new subcontractors being prioritised for enhanced scrutiny
- The performance of subcontractors will continually be monitored with swift interventions implemented and monitored if required

Fees

To ensure that any sub-contracted provision meets the RNN Group's high standards for the quality of teaching, learning and assessment and funding rule compliance, a transfer rate will be applied to any funding drawn down from the Education & Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) or any other funding body, before payment is made to the sub-contractor.

The RNN Group will make monthly payments to sub-contractors based upon the amount of funding paid to the Group from the relevant funding body(ies) to each eligible learner. Payment will only be made upon receipt of an acceptable and timely submission of evidence of learning for each individual learner. All funding claims must comply with current funding rules and the terms of the agreement between the RNN Group and the sub-contractor. Where funding claims cannot be substantiated, the RNN Group will adjust or reclaim any funds already paid or are due to be paid to the sub-contractor and where required, make an appropriate repayment to the ESFA or other funding body.

Payment dates are outlined below:

- Sub-contractor receives authorisation to invoice from the Group by no later than the 15th of the month:
- Sub-contractor sends invoice to the RNN Group's finance team by no later than the 18th of the month;
- The RNN Group makes payment to the sub-contractor by no later than the 30th of the month.

The transfer rate % applied under each agreement with a sub-contractor will be no more than 20%, this will be determined by the scale and complexity of the delivery model, funding stream, level of support required by the sub-contractor, level of risk to the Group, geographical location and spread of the sub-contractor. Exact rates to be deducted will be individually agreed with each sub-contractor through commercial negotiations. This will be detailed in the Sub-Contract Agreement between the sub-contractor and the RNN Group and will be broken down by costs associated with managing the sub-contract, costs associated with managing the quality of the provision being delivered under the sub-contract and how these costs are reasonable and proportionate to the delivery of high quality teaching and learning. Where rates are more or less than the % stated above, this will be documented with the reasons why.



Sub-Contractor Support & Capacity Building

The RNN Group has a responsibility to support all sub-contracting partners to develop and deliver high quality provision that meets the needs of the learner and exceeds the expectations of employers and other stakeholders. The management fees deducted from allocated funds allows the Group to provide a comprehensive programme of support and compliance to ensure that public funds are protected and used effectively, and that partners are supported to develop their provision.

The exact mix of support provided to sub-contractors will vary depending on the needs of the individual sub-contractor and learners, however, all partners, as a minimum can expect to benefit from the following:

- A dedicated single point of contact via the Bids & Contracts Manager;
- Daily administrative support, contract and performance monitoring via named Contracts Officer;
- Equality and diversity support;
- Review, monitoring and support relating to the quality of teaching, learning and assessment;
- Monthly data reviews and Monthly performance reviews;
- Quarterly Financial Performance Reviews
- Support for self-assessment and quality improvement planning;
- Staff development opportunities throughout the year;
- Health and safety advice and policy support;
- Safeguarding, PREVENT and British Values support and advice;
- Assistance in preparing for external verification and development with awarding bodies;
- IAG training and support;
- Teaching, learning and assessment observations and feedback;
- Partner VLE access:
- Student Portal access for learners;
- Support with compliance to funding rules;
- Regular national updates regarding funding and policy changes.

A detailed overview of how fees are utilized to enable support for subcontractors can be located in appendix 2. Further information can be found in our Performance Management Process 22/25 document.

Communication, Policy Review & Publication

This policy will be communicated to all of the RNN Group's sub-contractors during the initial contract commencement meeting and referred to throughout the year. This policy applies to all provision that is sub-contracted to any third party providers by the RNN Group. This policy replaces any previous versions where applicable, and will be effective from 1st August 2020. This policy will be reviewed annually or earlier if deemed necessary by the Group's Senior Leadership Team and/or Governing Body. This policy will be published on the RNN Group website, https://www.rnngroup.co.uk/info-type/policies-procedures-and-processes/.



Version Control

Version Number	Date Published
1	1 St September 2019
2	March 2021 (annual review)
3	July 2021 Amendment following review
4	July 2022
5	Oct 2022 Amendments following Board of Governors
	feedback
6	June 2023 Addition (Appendix 2) of Detailed
	Breakdown of Management Fees for Subcontractors



Appendix 1 - Risk Management Measures

Measure	Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk
Length of Trading			
	More than 7 years	2-7	Less than 2 years
		Years	
E' a carlot Cualcilia	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Financial Stability	Outcome of Financial	Outcome of	Outcome of Financial
	Assessment Using	Financial Assessment Using	Assessment Using
	Accounts Info is Low	Accounts Info is	Accounts Info is High
	Risk	Medium Risk	Risk
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Staff Experience &	More than 7 years	2- 7 years	Less than 2 years'
Qualifications	teaching & sector	teaching & sector	experience
	experience	experience Most	Few have teaching
	All have teaching quals	have teaching quals	quals
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Experience of			
Delivering Training	More than 7 years	2-7 years	Less than 2 years
Insurance Cover	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
ilisurance cover	£10m Employer's	£2-9.9m Employer's	Up to £2m
	£5m Public	£2-4.9m Public	Employer's Up to £2m Public
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
OFSTED and/or SAR			
Grade	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Type of Provision		3-12 Months Provision Fairly	12 Months+ Provision
(Risk)	Short Provision	Specialist	Specialist
	Non-Specialist	Apprenticeship (1-	NFFT
	. 	2 Yrs) Study	Apprenticeship (2+ Yrs)
		Programme	
Duestieus Vaard	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Previous Years'	95%+	85-94.99%	84.99% or Less
Achievement/ Pass/ Retention Rates)	UJ-J4.33/0	04.2270 OI LESS
(score for each)	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
All Required Policies in	V 1-11-0	Mostly Comp	Many Missing or Lots
Place	Yes and Fit for Purpose	Mostly – Some Key Info Missing	of Key Info Missing or
	•	,	Not Fit For Purpose
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Dealing with	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Contractual	understanding of	response with	not provided
Underperformance	effective contract	reasonable	enough
	management	understanding of	information,
	1	contract	lacking knowledge



	1		
		management	
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Meeting Needs of	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Local Community	understanding of	response with	not provided
,	needs of local	reasonable	enough
	community	understanding of	information,
	Community	local community	lacking knowledge
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
IAG of Learners	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
	understanding of IAG	response with	not provided
	and its importance	reasonable	enough
		understanding of	information,
		IAG and its	lacking knowledge
		importance	
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
ALS Needs	Good response,	Satisfactory	Poor response,
	clear understanding	response with	not provided
	of ALS and its	reasonable	enough
	importance	understanding	information,
	portanto	of ALS and its	lacking knowledge
	Canada 1	importance	Carra 7
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Monitoring Learner	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Progress	understanding of its	response with	not provided
	importance	reasonable	enough
		understanding of	information,
	Coore 1	its importance	lacking knowledge
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Recruitment of	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Learners	understanding of its	response with	not provided
	importance	reasonable	enough
		understanding of	information,
		ita immartanca	
	Coore 1	its importance	lacking knowledge
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Culture & Values	The culture & values	Score 3 Some of the	Score 7 Very few of the
(website, wording,	The culture & values of the company fit	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of
	The culture & values of the company fit very much in line with	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of the company fit in line
(website, wording,	The culture & values of the company fit	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of
(website, wording, processes, provision	The culture & values of the company fit very much in line with the RNN Group	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group
(website, wording, processes, provision etc)	The culture & values of the company fit very much in line with	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 3	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of the company fit in line
(website, wording, processes, provision etc) Provision Fits With	The culture & values of the company fit very much in line with the RNN Group	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 7
(website, wording, processes, provision etc) Provision Fits With RNN's Strategic	The culture & values of the company fit very much in line with the RNN Group	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 3	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 7
(website, wording, processes, provision etc) Provision Fits With RNN's Strategic Direction or is of	The culture & values of the company fit very much in line with the RNN Group Score 1 Yes	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 3 Mostly	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 7 No
(website, wording, processes, provision etc) Provision Fits With RNN's Strategic	The culture & values of the company fit very much in line with the RNN Group	Score 3 Some of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 3	Score 7 Very few of the cultures & values of the company fit in line with the RNN Group Score 7



Other Risk Factors:	Good responses, no	Good Responses,	Little evidence of
Group Bid, acting upon	risk, no convictions etc.	evidence of action	actions being put in
feedback bankruptcy,		being taken, little	place, high risk to
convictions.		risk of	the Group
		reoccurrence etc	
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7



APPRENTICESHIPS ONLY

			
Engaging	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Employe	understanding of its	response with	not provided
rs	importance	reasonable	enough
		understanding of its	information,
		importance	lacking
			knowledge Score 7
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Ensure Learners	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Complete Timely	understanding of its	response with	not provided
	importance	reasonable	enough
	,	understanding of its	information,
		importance	lacking
			knowledge
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Off the Job Training	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Hailing	understanding of its	response with	not provided
	importance	reasonable	enough
	'	understanding of its	information,
		importance	lacking
			knowledge
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7
Ratio	Good response, clear	Satisfactory	Poor response,
Staff/Learners	understanding of its	response with	not provided
and Visits	importance	reasonable	enough
		understanding of its	information,
		importance	lacking
		•	knowledge
	Score 1	Score 3	Score 7

NOTE: The RNN Group will not sub-contact with any external organisation who has self-assessed or received an OFSTED grade 4, neither will we allow areas of individual curriculum to be delivered that has received such grade. We will only enter into a sub-contract with organisations who are deemed high risk if the partnership is of key strategic importance, at employer demand or due to exceptional circumstances. It is important to the RNN Group that any potential partner shares our culture and values. If we feel that this is not the case, we will not enter into a sub-contract regardless of risk.



Appendix 2 – Breakdown of Management Fees

Service Provided	Reason why cost is reasonable and proportionate to delivery of the subcontracted teaching or learning and how each cost contributes to delivering high quality learning
Contracts Team Costs 8%	 A dedicated team acting as a single point of contact to offer daily administrative support, contract and performance monitoring;
	 Support on completing Learner Information, Advice and Guidance and development of the Individual Learning Plan; Equality and diversity support;
	 Regular data and contract performance reviews; Safeguarding, PREVENT and British Values support and advice including an annual safeguarding audit);
	Partner VLE access;Student Portal access for learners;
	 Support with compliance to funding rules including conducting spot checks and limited notice visits to review audit and compliance documents and activity;
	 Facilitation of group best practice meetings and ESFA guidelines; Regular national updates regarding funding and policy changes.
MIS Team Costs 8%	 Enrolment of Learners to be included on the College's ILR Return to the Agency; Data report updates and improvements;
Quality Team Costs	 Support with compliance to funding rules; Regular national updates regarding funding and policy changes.
2.5%	 Support for self-assessment and quality improvement planning; Staff development opportunities throughout the year; Assistance in preparing for external verification and development with awarding bodies;
	 IAG training and support; Teaching, learning and assessment deep dives/learning walks etc and feedback.
Other Costs 1.5%	 Health and Safety, Data Protection & GDPR advice and support; Processing of Sub-Contractor invoices, payments and forecasting;
	 Curriculum guidance and programme planning support; Guidance and overall monitoring from Executive and Senior Leadership Team members.